

ADDENDUM NUMBER 2

Project: Town of Ludlow – Radio communications P25 System Upgrade – Project #612R2019

TO: Vendors

Delivered: Via website – www.ludlow.ma.us/html/business/rfp.htm

Prepared by: ACD Telecom

Dated: March 19, 2020

This addendum will become part of the Bid documents. Companies submitting a proposal are responsible for inclusion of this information into their submittal package. Receipt shall be acknowledged by inserting the addendum number and its date in the submitted form.

ADDENDUM NO. 2

See attached 2 pages.

Company Name: _____

ADDENDA:

I acknowledge receipt of Addendum Numbers (if any) _____, _____, _____, _____, _____

The undersigned certifies that the contract documents, Request for Services document, addenda and specifications have been carefully examined. The undersigned declares that the scope and nature of the work to be done is understood.

Date

Signature

Title


Addendum #2 has Three Pages
END OF ADDENDUM




Addendum #2
Town of Ludlow, Massachusetts
IFB # 612R2019
Radio Communications P25 System Upgrade

Question	Answer
<p>Q1 - Reference Compliance Matrix, IFB Page 230: The IFB document requests that a UHF system be proposed, however there are references to deploying a 700/800 MHz system in the Compliance Matrix.</p> <p>Please confirm the proposing vendor has flexibility to choose the most appropriate spectrum to meet the IFB specifications?</p>	<p>A1 - The Town is looking for a P25 UHF system. Please disregard the reference to deploying 700/800 MHz P25 system in the compliance matrix.</p>
<p>Q2 - Reference Compliance Matrix, IFB Page 230: The Statement of Requirements (SoR) document outlines features/functions that may or may not currently or in the future be defined by TIA compliance specifications. Further, compliance with this SoR document does not ensure a vendor's compliance with any detailed TIA technical specification which is required in order to ensure interoperability in a multi-vendor environment.</p> <p>Please confirm in lieu of responding to SoR, vendors should provide the list of P25 features and functions that show compliance to the TIA P25 standard?</p>	<p>A2 - The reference to the P25 SoR link, and any reference to the P25 SoR will remain "as is" in the IFB. If a vendor believes there is a feature that is not fully and completely approved as a standard by TIA through its standard setting procedures, they can write Y in the Complies Column, and elaborate in the Vendor's Response Column. If you choose to elaborate in the Vendor's Response Column, make sure you are very specific on the particular feature and function.</p>
<p>Q3 - Reference Responder Device Features, Section 4.3.1 - IFB Page 85: It states OTAP as mandatory device features, however, in multiple other sections it states as optional. What is desired way, mandatory feature or optional?</p>	<p>A3 - Optional</p>
<p>Q4 - Call volumes per year and average duration?</p>	<p>A4 - Please see attached document titled "Ludlow's Messages-Calls - Average Duration by DS - 03 18 2020"</p>

Messages per Month in 2019



Call Flow for 2019



Call Durations for 2019

